Seven Deadly Mobile Myths

JOSH CLARK @GLOBALMOXIE





We're all anthropologists. Anyone who makes product/service is an anthropologist.

To provide solutions for users, have to understand their behaviors, problems, cultures.



As I've thought about the range of mobile cultures: realized that we too often have a simple, even condescending view of mobile....

That every mobile user or every mobile platform is same as the next.

We have some really stubborn myths about mobile users, really screwing up the way we provide mobile services.



LOTS of mobile mindsets. These break down: across platform, across demographic, across personal context.

We tend to oversimplify mobile needs, boil them down to really simple use cases,

In doing that we risk building dumbed-down apps that patronize our users more than help.

And worse, making our own work harder over long term.



So let's look at how we REALLY use mobile apps, to consider a better way to approach building them.

MYTH #1

Mobile users are rushed and distracted.

Myth: Distracted, in a rush, no attention span. You hear: information snacking. Design for little stunted 20 second interactions.

EXCEPT: Mobile not just on go: couch, kitchen, bed, 3-hour layover. Or, y'know, in the little boys' or girls' room. 40% admit to using phones in bathroom. Luke Wroblewski: 60% are liars.

We're not always in a rush on our phones. But the assumption that we are leads to all kinds of bad decisions. Leads to kneejerk assumption that mobile means lite version.

Here's the thing: 85% expect mobile to be AT LEAST AS GOOD as desktop. http://j.mp/nzt5SP

Our job is not to willy-nilly strip out useful features. The painful experience of getting nudged to mobile website where they've removed exactly the feature or content we want. Have to do the miserable experince of going to "full desktop site"

We do everything on our phones now. Anytime you say, somebody won't want that on mobile, that's not mobile content... you're wrong.



Myth: Distracted, in a rush, no attention span. You hear: information snacking. Design for little stunted 20 second interactions.

EXCEPT: Mobile not just on go: couch, kitchen, bed, 3-hour layover. Or, y'know, in the little boys' or girls' room. 40% admit to using phones in bathroom. Luke Wroblewski: 60% are liars.

We're not always in a rush on our phones. But the assumption that we are leads to all kinds of bad decisions. Leads to kneejerk assumption that mobile means lite version.

Here's the thing: 85% expect mobile to be AT LEAST AS GOOD as desktop. http://j.mp/nzt5SP

Our job is not to willy-nilly strip out useful features. The painful experience of getting nudged to mobile website where they've removed exactly the feature or content we want. Have to do the miserable experince of going to "full desktop site"

We do everything on our phones now. Anytime you say, somebody won't want that on mobile, that's not mobile content... you're wrong.



Alibris.com: No rare books section in mobile website. Head of retail said: such a BIG PURCHASE requires more searching than people would want to do on mobile. Assume they wouldn't want to commit to the purchase on the go.

See: http://j.mp/eS9226

eBay: 2000 cars every week in US alone. Several Ferraris/month on their mobile apps.

Every time you assume someone won't want to do something on mobile, you're wrong. Don't arbitrarily remove content.

This is denying a purchase to mobile users. Not possible to buy a rare book on this site.

But who cares, right? Mobile's still a niche? Get the full site when they get back to desktop. No big deal, right?



OF US MOBILE WEB USERS

MOSTLY USE MOBILE WEB

http://pewinternet.org/Reports/2011/Smartphones/Section-2.aspx

28% of mobile web users rarely or never use desktop. You do the math, that's around 11% of US adults overall, or 25 million people in the US alone. So if you care about reaching this huge swath of users,

have to care about hitting them on mobile.

Because that's the ONLY way to reach them. That group DEFINITELY expects to do everything on mobile. MYTH #2

Mobile = Less



Mobile is not less. As we begin to do everything on our phones, sometimes ONLY on our phones,

mobile content and features should be AT LEAST at the same level as any other platform.

Mobile is not lite. Mobile is not less.

Jakob Nielsen shared his advice for mobile

Jakob Nielsen's advice: http://www.useit.com/alertbox/mobile-vs-full-sites.html

He says: Build a separate mobile site. With less content. Cut features that are not core to the mobile use case.

For the "few" users who need more content, offer links to the "full site"

and, y'know... let em muddle through.

So what does this look like in practice? http://www.useit.com/alertbox/mobile-ux-guidelines.html Nielsen says: The feature set should be much smaller for a mobile site than for a desktop site,/ A company's full site typically includes PR information and investor relations sections... but this info should be eliminated from the mobile site.

Mobile users NEVER care about PR information or investor relations??? I Everything about Nielsen's guidelines are wrong and damaging. I don't argue his research which suggests that you shouldn't overwhelm mobile users, but I do argue his conclusions which is that you should just cut the content entirely.

Don't confuse context with intent.



We make too many assumptions from screen size. You can't confuse device context w/intent.

Don't limit functionality based on screen size alone. Using small screen \neq wanting to do less. Like saying that because paperbacks have smaller pages, you have to remove entire chapters.

Mobile websites/apps should have full content/tools May be displayed differently, hierarchy may change.

Some devices better suited to some tasks than others. So EMPHASIZE diff content on diff devices.

But don't arbitrarily give me LESS. That goes not only for individual sites but for families of sites.

MYTH #3

Complexity is a dirty word



Complexity is awesome, gives life and apps texture. We as designers have to embrace complexity to allow our users to complete difficult tasks and make sense of complex info.

People don't want dumbed down apps,

they want uncomplicated apps. The trick is to make complexity uncomplicated. There's a difference.

So, bucking the myth of the distracted user means figuring out how to create complex yet comprehensible interfaces.



Uncomplicating complexity is hard to do. It starts with figuring out what the user doesnt want/need to be exposed to.

So, if you're designing an app to fly an airplane, you might start here...



...when your customers really want this. What's their goal? Help them get there as fast as they can.



Umbrella: The Simplest Weather Forecast "Will I need an umbrella today?" I'm the perfect audience for this app.

But look: for some, maybe most, pic of umbrella won't cut it. Weather hugely complex. Stepfather Ken want to be exposed to all its complexity.

For him, papering over that complexity is a fail. He would find this condescending.

Managing complexity doesn't always or even usually mean stripping out features until the project is toothless. Mobile doesn't mean less, it doesn't mean lite.



Facebook iPhone app v1 started with too few features and users hated it. Without a minimum level of features and complexity, it just wasn't facebook.

So how do you do pack more complexity into the small screen?



This is the Accuweather.com iPad app, but I'm not sure that it manages complexity in the right way. First of all, scary.

But more important, jammed with information. More than you need at any given time. Don't make me scan all this data for what I'm looking for. Instead, let me ask for it as I need it.

Manage complexity not by presenting it all at once, but by managing it through give and take.



Accuweather.com. Actually does a better job with complex information

in small screen of iPhone app instead of iPad app.

Dense info for the current moment

Nice start, but how to provide all of the day's detailed info?



Swipe at current conditions to move into future. [next]

Detailed conditions for 7am, and temps for later. I ask app about 10am... by touching 10am! Only when I ask for that info does it give it to me.

Question, answer. Ask, receive.

Requires more taps than just dumping all the data on you directly. But each screen more digestible.

In a mobile interface, clarity trumps density.

And that gives the lie to the fourth myth.

MYTH #4

Extra taps and clicks are evil



The web has given us a squeamishness about extra clicks.

In mobile: tap quality far important than tap quantity.

As long as each tap delivers satisfaction (example), extra taps are ok. ARE GOOD.

It invites conversation, give and take that you can get at and explore.

Progressive disclosure

T



Progressive disclosure helps you uncomplicate complexity.



Momento: Great micro-journal, record moments of the day. Twittering to yourself.

Can attach things to your moment Icon for each. But doesn't leave much room for your moment!

Main event is content but crowded out. Small buttons, hard on eyes and fingers. Common problem for many Twitter apps



Fond of how earlier versions of the Twitter app solved this. Focused entirely on single task, dedicated to post. Secondary tools behind a secret panel.

Trouble with secret panels is that they have to be discoverable. Latch hidden in plain sight.

In recent releases, added animation hint.

Optimize each screen for the primary task. Secondary tools and controls behind hidden doors and secret panels.

Clarity trumps density. Tap quality trumps tap quantity.

SO JAKOB NIELSEN IS RIGHT IN PART... that there should be one big idea per screen, that mobile experiences should be sharp and focused and bit sized. But that doesn't mean you can't serve lots of bites.



Fond of how earlier versions of the Twitter app solved this. Focused entirely on single task, dedicated to post. Secondary tools behind a secret panel.

Trouble with secret panels is that they have to be discoverable. Latch hidden in plain sight.

In recent releases, added animation hint.

Optimize each screen for the primary task. Secondary tools and controls behind hidden doors and secret panels.

Clarity trumps density. Tap quality trumps tap quantity.

SO JAKOB NIELSEN IS RIGHT IN PART... that there should be one big idea per screen, that mobile experiences should be sharp and focused and bit sized. But that doesn't mean you can't serve lots of bites.

Mobile ≠ Less



In fact, rather than thinking that mobile means less...

Mobile = More



The real question is: how can I do more? These devices can DO MORE than a desktop. They have a camera, microphone, GPS, gyroscope, touch. What are the opportunities for subtle insights, new features? Gives your app or website superpowers.

Stop thinking about mobile CONTENT. Stop thinking about what people WON'T want on mobile. You'll be wrong.

Instead of mobile content: mobile devices, mobile capabilities. What can you DO with that content?

Start with a basic website, use feature sniffing to layer on mobile-only tools. Touch interactions, location information, the camera. Progressive enhancement for superpowered devices.

Progressive enhancement of a single webpage for all devices. That brings us to the fifth myth.

MYTH #5

Gotta have a mobile website



Look, you DO need your website to look great on all devices. Desktop, phones, tablets. And voice... how does your website SOUND? And soon: TVs... devices we haven't even imagined yet.

For all: presentation should be different,

but not necessarily the content.

So yes, you do need great mobile EXPERIENCES. But not a separate website.

There is no mobile web.



Serve same fundamental content/features to all devices, but enhanced to suit specific devices.

Means we can't think of different websites for different devices. The mobile web is not some independent thing.

Year ago, Stephen Hay wrote a wonderful article titled "there is no mobile web," and I recommend it to all of you.

Don't think in terms of a mobile website. Instead: How is this single website EXPERIENCED on mobile devices? Web experiences, not individual web sites.

Back to Jakob Nielsen's idea of having separate stripped down site. Link to desktop if you need it.

mobile.mysite.com



...but I get bumped over here...

You're probably doing it wrong.

- The same URL for the same content, or at least thematically similar content, across all devices.
- With appropriate shifts in presentation and capablities.

One web



This is the one-web idea.

Not about the user's context in other words, (Will they want this on mobile?) but the device context: What can device DO How can the device enhance the experience?

Build by thinking about progressive enhancement. Build using techniques of adaptive or responsive web design.

Serve a single html page to all devices, use media queries to design for common formats.

JS feature sniffing to layer on new functionality: GPS, touch, voice, eventually camera accessibility, you name it.



T

T



Makes tons of sense for a content site, like the awesome bostonglobe.com.

But may need to have separate html for more feature-driven sites, at least for certain broad classes of devices. Be flexible.

And there are some cases, but they're rare, when mobile content is really different from desktop content.

When you might build entirely separate app for mobile, tuned to mobile's special features of location, portability.

Few universal laws in design. Use good judgment. Responsive design or separate mobile website? It depends. http://j.mp/hNA9UH

Edit, ediz, edit



So: thematically similar content and features across all devices. But shouldn't just throw the kitchen sink in there, and put each and every thing in your mobile app.

First, it's hard. Hard to elegantly fit lots of features into small screen. Business of uncomplicating complexity isn't easy.

But more important, all those content and features may not be so important in the first place.

So wait? This sounds contradictory, right? Mobile should do everything, but it shouldn't do everything?

Here's what I mean:

Your mobile site should probably have less stuff than your desktop site has now. Not because it's mobile, but because your desktop site is full of crap.

FOCUS AND WINNOW CONTENT ON ALL PLATFORMS, Be discerning.

This is only the beginning.

Mobile, not mobile, one web or separate web sites. Platforms: iPhone, iPad, web, desktop apps, Android, SMS. But also: print, television, voice, all the traditional media. ALL THESE PLATFORMS AND CULTURES.

Thrilling but overwhelming time for all of us right now.

Step back from that whirlwind for a moment, stop thinking so much about individual platforms, Stop focusing so much on APPS or WEBSITES.

To deliver rich, complex experiences to all these contexts, you have to start with your CONTENT.



Look across the entire range of devices and interfaces, and seek out the commonalities. What's range of service you want to offer.

Floating above all these cultures, tying them together, is your your company, your goals, your service

is you, your company, your goals, your service. And that's embodied digitally by your API.

Content runs the show

BIG

THIS is the real winner. Not web apps or native apps or 1 platform. Big boy in the room is thinking about your interfaces as spectrum of apps that plug into single wellspring of service. Of content.

Build common back end that can serve all these interfaces, lets you turn and pivot to each culture, to each technology, to each device.

Not mobile first, not desktop first. Content and API first.
MYTH #6

Mobile is about apps



So this is the sixth myth, and it's a big one. Apps, apps, apps, apps, apps.

At any moment, we tend to focus on a single container: an app, a mobile website

That's what's got us running in panic now, right? Gotta have an app! Gotta get on tablets. Gotta have that mobile website!

An app is not a strategy. It's just an app.

DD

In fact your product is NOT an app or a website.

Your product is not a product at all



See, your product isn't really a product at all. Your product is something called content. It's a service. The rest are all just containers.

Stop focusing so much on APPS. Not sustainable. Won't keep up. Can't start from scratch and design every pixel for EVERY point content touches reader.

Pull back from obsession w/presentation. Have to start w/CONTENT.

Have to accept that your content will take many forms.



I'll turn now to the famous content strategist and UX designer Bruce Lee.

"You put water into a cup, it becomes the cup.

You put water into a bottle, it becomes the bottle.

You put it in a teapot, it becomes the teapot."

Content like water. Content's going to take many forms, flow into many different containers, many of which we haven't even imagined yet.

Build from content out. Not container in.

Build common back end to serve ANY interface. Stop thinking app, start thinking flexible content, service.

CMS has to be agnostic about these platform machinations. Need clean content repositories to deliver more neutrally formatted content to be displayed on ANY device.

And man we have a lot of them.



There's a growing mainstream expectation that you can simply get all your content from any device. We expect content to flow seamlessly, follow us throughout the day.

This ad for NFL.com, crisp illustration of that shift. http://j.mp/nkrn7f

We access the same content across multiple devices. Phones, PCs, tablets, X-boxes, tv boxes

Kindle and Netflix

That expectation is going to spread to even the most modest apps. I want my stuff everywhere.



And this expectation is only going to grow as it gets cooked into popular platforms. Like Apple's iCloud, for example.

We're all cloud developers

Even modest apps need to start talking to the cloud. We're all cloud developers. Again: API first. Just about every app should be a web client.

Pew: "Apps and the Web are converging in the cloud." Native vs web becoming an implementation

detail. http://j.mp/GJ6all



http://futurefriend.ly

Can't know the future, so can't be future-proof. But we CAN be future-friendly.

Future Friendly: resource for big-picture considerations for managing, escaping this app-centric thinking.

Biggest lesson there, strange for a designer to say: don't try to control form of your content in each and every context. You won't be able to keep up. You can't scale. Old lesson of web. Separation of content/prez. Same applies for other platforms. Apps, print, voice,

Build APIs that can send content to any platform/device

Designers say: But ugh, Josh. Seriously? API, Structured content, CMS? BORING. This is for the database nerds to figure out, right?

I'm glad you asked. Myth seven.

MYTH #7

CMS & API are for database nerds.



This stuff is not for database nerds.

Designers, managers, content producers, we all have to care about this, too. Not just care, but get involved.

It will make all of us better at our jobs if we start caring about content design, workflow, storage, transport.

Because what I'm talking about is: HOW TO MAINTAIN SOME CREATIVE CONTROL with mobile.

"Metadata is the new art direction."

ETHAN RESNICK @STUDIP101



What he means: structure your content well, you'll get a foothold of creative control in this chaotic world of connected devices.

You'll have the hooks and flexibility you need to: -- put content where you want it,

- -- style it how you want it,
- -- in ways that are appropriate to the device.

Friends, THAT's creative control. Creatively craft your content to fit the current context, no matter what device someone is using.

That means we have to start driving our design down the stack, into the content itself.

Look, visual design is as important as ever. It is.

Can't do hand-crafted custom art direction for every platform. Can't design every iPad screen by hand, portrait/landscape. Doesn't scale, and there aren't enough of us.

So have to focus on designing/enhancing content w/metadata.



Greece throws euro bailout into new crisis

EU stunned by referendum plan 'No' vote could result in default

23)



Academic linked to Gaddafi's fugitive son leaves LSE





The easy buy a ne

Call FREE 08



UK

Activists sit tight as another cleric exits St Paul's dean quits over protest camp

Financial

Issues Sections Settings

85 % 🔳

4:34 PM

World



No vote could result

EU stunned by

referendum plan

Academic with links to Gaddafi son leaves LSE

iPad 😤

Front page







In nictures

Guardian.

Newspaper layout = editorial judgment. Placement/size of articles provides semantic meaning. Primary, secondary, tertiary tiers.

THERE IS CONTENT IN DESIGN CHOICES

So: how do we cook design choices into content, so that editorial judgment can transition platforms?

Experience reminds of print, but very iPad. Box-like presentation of Flipboard. Works. NOT JUST A LIST OF ARTICLES. Layout/size reflects choices of editors from print.

How? THEY AUTOMATE IT. http://j.mp/sWrnCl Script reads original indesign files where print issue designed. Reads size & placement of each article, encodes that into metadata of the content API.

Give the robots metadata for editorial priority, based on judgment of Guardian's editors. iPad app uses that info to make device-appropriate decisions for how to place articles in app.

Repurpose design Lowert

Here's the thing to remember:

It's not the DESIGN that you want to repurpose. [next]

It's the content. It's the editorial sensibility.

Available anywhere your audience might want it.

The goal is not to translate literal visual design, It's to transfer content and meaning. That's what Guardian does, very smart multiplatform strategy.

Cooks editorial information into metadata of the content, but without shoehorning print's design conventions into iPad. WE DON'T DESIGN PIXELS, WE DESIGN CONTENT.

The real win... for business value, for creative control, for empowering readers,

is to create content strategies and design strategies that are not tied to any single presentation.

Because it's not your app strategy, or your desktop strategy,

It's just plain strategy



- \checkmark MOBILE \neq RUSHED
- \checkmark MOBILE \neq LESS
- ✓ COMPLEX ≠ COMPLICATED
- \checkmark TAP QUALITY > TAP QUANTITY
- ✓ NO SUCH THING AS MOBILE WEB
- ✓ FOCUS FOR ALL PLATFORMS
- ✓ DON'T THINK APP; THINK SERVICE
- $\mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{DOIN} \quad \mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{\PiINK} \quad \mathbf{AFF}; \quad \mathbf{\PiIINK} \quad \mathbf{SEKVICE}$
- ✓ METADATA IS THE NEW ART DIRECTION



I love you guys.



JOSH CLARK @GLOBALMOXIE

I love you guys, I do. So let me wrap up by saying this, gently:

Our jobs are getting harder. We're designing for a jillion platforms. We are inundated by screens, a rain of little glowing rectangles.

That's not going to change, so we can't sweat it. This is simply the environment we work in now. So accept it, understand it, know that we have to give up some control.

Get rid of stubborn myths about how we consume content in era of connected devices. And then, man, with that knowledge in hand: let's go kick some ass.

Seriously: we have the coolest job in the world. At one of most exciting times in history of technology, and for that matter of culture, too.

Embrace the uncertainty we face, think big, and go make something amazing.